Learned Publishing, 26: 45–49 doi:10.1087/ 20130109

### Introduction

The journal impact factor (IF), an important bibliometric indicator, is used ever more widely to evaluate the influence and academic level of a journal, directly or indirectly, whether in China or elsewhere, since Garfield<sup>1</sup> first presented it in 1955. In recent times, sponsors and journal editors and editorial boards have tried their best to improve the IF of their own journal. Many factors can affect IF, self-citation being one of them, and increasing self-citation can rapidly increase the IF. Journal self-citation and excessive self-citation in China have been reported,  $^{2,3}$  but few comparative studies on Chinese and other countries' journals have been reported. We selected journals included by SCI database from China, Japan, India, and Korea from 2007 to 2009, and analyzed the self-citation rate.

### Method

The exact procedure followed for interrogating the database was: logon to the official web of ISI Web of Knowledge; choose the key 'other resource' and enter 'Journal Citation Reports'; from 'Select a JCR edition and year', select the 'JCR Science Edition' 2007-2009 in turn; from 'Select an option', choose 'View a group of journals by Country/Territory', then submit it; entering 'Country/Territory Selection', choose 'PEOPLES R CHINA', 'JAPAN', 'INDIA', 'KOREA'; on the column of 'Select one or more countries/territories from the list to filter journals', then submit it. Finally the system will show the general situation of periodicals included by the SCI database of the four countries from 2007 to 2009 automatically and respectively, including journal numbers, abbreviated journal names, ISSN, IFs, total cited frequency, etc., and calculates its self-citation rate. It deals with the research data statistically via SPSS 17.0, and self-citation rate is compared by multiple Self-citation rates of scientific and technical journals in SCI from China, Japan, India, and Korea

### FANG Hongling

Xinxiang Medical University, PR China

ABSTRACT. We analyze the self-citation rates of scientific and technical journals in the SCI database from China, Japan, India, and Korea from 2007 to 2009. Korea has the highest self-citation rate, Japan the lowest. Compared with 2007, the overall level of self-citation rate declined across all four countries in 2008. In 2009, however, the self-citation rate of the three countries other than China rose a little. The total cited frequency and impact factor of excessive selfciting journals and zero self-citation journal in China, Japan, India, and Korea are all lower than those of the mean of all journals included in the SCI in 2009. It appears that the academic influence of journals whose self-citation rates is either too low or too high is small.

© Fang Hongling 2013



Fang Hongling

LEARNED PUBLISHING VOL. 26 NO. 1 JANUARY 2013

45

| Country | 2007 |                    | 2008 | 2008               |     | 2009               |  |
|---------|------|--------------------|------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|--|
|         | No.  | Self-citation rate | No.  | Self-citation rate | No. | Self-citation rate |  |
| Japan   | 170  | 0.117 ± 0.115      | 175  | $0.094 \pm 0.084$  | 200 | $0.109 \pm 0.106$  |  |
| China   | 94   | $0.216 \pm 0.155$  | 98   | $0.182 \pm 0.143$  | 139 | $0.169 \pm 0.149$  |  |
| India   | 47   | $0.144 \pm 0.136$  | 45   | $0.124 \pm 0.112$  | 68  | $0.176 \pm 0.215$  |  |
| Korea   | 38   | $0.260 \pm 0.199$  | 40   | $0.236 \pm 0.214$  | 64  | $0.272 \pm 0.224$  |  |

Table 1. Overall level of self-citation rate in the four countries from 2007 to 2009

Table 2 Multiple comparisons about the self-citation rate of journal in the four countries from 2007 to 2009 (P values)

| Country | Japan |      |      | India |      |      | Korea |      |      |
|---------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|
|         | 2007  | 2008 | 2009 | 2007  | 2008 | 2009 | 2007  | 2008 | 2009 |
| China   | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02  | 0.12 | 0.75 | 0.98  | 0.89 | 0.10 |
| Japan   |       |      |      | 0.74  | 0.55 | 0.30 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| India   |       |      |      |       |      |      | 0.03  | 0.06 | 0.02 |

P < 0.05 means that there is a significant difference in self-citation between two countries.

independent samples of non-parametric tests (Kruskal–Wallis *H*-test), and the self-citation rate between any two countries is compared through the Nemenyi rank-sum test. Inspection level:  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

### Results

## Overall level of self-citation rate in the four countries

The overall level of self-citation rate from 2007 to 2009 is shown in Table 1.

From Table 1, Korea has the highest selfcited rate for each of the years 2007 to 2009, while Japan has the lowest. Compared with 2007, the overall level of self-citation rate declined in the four countries in 2008. In 2009,

however, the self-citation rate of the three countries other than China increased again.

•

The results of multiple comparisons on selfcitation are shown in Table 2.

# Excessive self-citation journal of the four countries in 2009

Testing the 7,347 journal self-citation rates which were selected from the journals included in SCI in 2009, the data show an abnormal distribution. Therefore, percentile methods are used to determine the upper limit of the journal self-citation rate. We identify the 90th percentile unilateral upper limit of normal as 0.25. If the value of the self-citation rate is more than 0.25, it is identified as excessive. Based on this standard, the excessive

Table 3 Journals with excessive self-citation frequency, and their impact factor in 2009 (mean  $\pm$  SD)

| Country | No. | %    | Self-citation rate | Total cited frequency | Impact factor     |
|---------|-----|------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| China   | 33  | 23.7 | 0.389±0.123        | 968±1246              | 0.712±0.637       |
| Japan   | 20  | 10.0 | 0.354±0.110        | $717 \pm 1421$        | $0.917 \pm 1.274$ |
| India   | 13  | 19.1 | $0.542 \pm 0.240$  | 272±392               | 0.214±0.214       |
| Korea   | 29  | 45.3 | 0.471±0.173        | 276±364               | $0.762 \pm 0.741$ |

LEARNED PUBLISHING VOL. 26 NO. 1 JANUARY 2013

Table 4. Zero self-citation journals for China,Japan, India, and Korea

| • •   |      |      |      |
|-------|------|------|------|
|       | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| China | 1    | 4    | 13   |
| Japan | 1    | 8    | 9    |
| India | 2    | 2    | 3    |
| Korea | 0    | 1    | 4    |
|       |      |      |      |

country which has the highest overall level of self-citation rate is India, followed by Korea, China, and Japan. China has the highest overall level of self-citation frequency, followed by Japan, Korea, and India. Japan has the highest overall level of IF, followed by Korea, China, and India.

## Zero self-citation journals

self-citation journals in the four countries in 2009 are shown in Table 3.

From Table 3, we can see that Japan has the lowest proportion of excessive self-citing journals, followed by India, China, and Korea. The

The numbers of zero self-citation journals from 2007 to 2009 for China, Japan, India, and Korea are shown in Table 4. Over all three years, the mean number value of whole zero self-citation journals is 356, 564, 360,

Table 5. A comparison comparison of zero self-citation journals

| Journal title        | Publication<br>year | Country | Impact factor     | Total cited<br>frequency | Eigenfactor   |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Acta Phytotaxon Sin  | 2009                | China   | 0.333             | 427                      | 0.00080       |
| Episodes             | 2009                | China   | 2.122             | 1134                     | 0.00333       |
| Int J Min Met Mater  | 2009                | China   | 0                 | 1                        | 0             |
| J China Univ Geosci  | 2009                | China   | 0.210             | 173                      | 0.00058       |
| J Univ Sci Technol B | 2009                | China   | 0.416             | 453                      | 0.00127       |
| Numer Math-Theory Me | 2009                | China   | 0.696             | 24                       | 0.00018       |
| China Part           | 2009                | China   | 1.102             | 183                      | 0.00077       |
| Chinese J Astron Ast | 2009                | China   | 0.888             | 543                      | 0.00368       |
| Chinese Phys         | 2009                | China   | 1.343             | 2846                     | 0.00733       |
| Res Astron Astrophys | 2009                | China   | 0                 | 33                       | 0             |
| High Energ Phys Nuc  | 2009                | China   | 0.233             | 343                      | 0.00118       |
| J Chin Inst Chem Eng | 2009                | China   | 1.412             | 429                      | 0.00124       |
| J Taiwan Inst Chem E | 2009                | China   | 0                 | 15                       | 0             |
| J Jpn Soc Tribologis | 2009                | Japan   | 0.048             | 93                       | 0.00036       |
| Jpn J Math           | 2009                | Japan   | 1.071             | 255                      | 0.00130       |
| K Yushu J Math       | 2009                | Japan   | 0.463             | 95                       | 0.00118       |
| New Diam Front C Ter | 2009                | Japan   | 0.739             | 140                      | 0.00053       |
| Optim Method Softw   | 2009                | Japan   | 0.866             | 682                      | 0.00340       |
| Electron Comm Jpn 1  | 2009                | Japan   | 0.188             | 63                       | 0.00047       |
| Electron Comm Jpn 2  | 2009                | Japan   | 0.180             | 116                      | 0.00056       |
| Electron Comm Jpn 3  | 2009                | Japan   | 0.141             | 84                       | 0.00018       |
| J Jpn Soc Food Sci   | 2009                | Japan   | 0.153             | 294                      | 0.00055       |
| J Spacecr Technol    | 2009                | India   | 0.034             | 6                        | 0             |
| Orient Insects       | 2009                | India   | 0.230             | 140                      | 0.00028       |
| B Astron Soc India   | 2009                | India   | 0.310             | 140                      | 0.00063       |
| J Korean Meteor Soc  | 2009                | Korea   | 0.550             | 85                       | 0.00040       |
| Korean J Genetic     | 2009                | Korea   | 0.310             | 82                       | 0.00028       |
| J Biochem Mol Biol   | 2009                | Korea   | 2.020             | 1394                     | 0.00545       |
| J Commun Netw-S Kor  | 2009                | Korea   | 0.220             | 171                      | 0.00082       |
| Summation (39)       |                     |         | $0.561 \pm 0.582$ | $360 \pm 578$            | 0.0013±0.0017 |

LEARNED PUBLISHING VOL. 26 NO. 1 JANUARY 2013

۲

۲

and the mean value of impact factor is 0.336, 0.396 and 0.561.

A comparison of zero self-citation journals in China, Japan, Indian and Korea is shown in Table 5.

#### Analysis and discussion

#### The self-citation rate of a journal

With the wide application of IFs, many journal editors are single-mindedly trying to improve their journal's self-citation rate in order to improve the journal impact factor.  $\mbox{Liu}^2$  and others have studied the self-citation rate of Chinese medical journals, showing that there are large differences there. At the same time, related research showed that the average self-citation rate was significantly higher than that of foreign medical journals for the years 2005–2007.<sup>3–5</sup> But how do Chinese journals compare with others in Asia if we look at those journals covered by SCI? In Asia, Japan and Korea are developed countries, while China and India are developing rapidly. This comparison can therefore help us not only to know the difference between China and other countries in Asia, but also provide some useful information for the journals in China, perhaps helping them to take some appropriate measures to improve their academic influence in an attempt to get more journals included by international authoritative database and retrieval institutions.

The self-citation rate of journal is influenced by many factors, among which the influence of the journal itself (i.e. whether it contains items worthy of citation) is the most important. Among the four countries studied here, Japan has the lowest journal self-citation rate, which is related to its high level of economy and scientific research on the one hand, and on the other hand, with the great number of Japanese journals included in the SCI database which makes it easy to cite among journals in the same country. However, Korea, which is also a developed country, has few journals included in the SCI database, and its overall level of self-citation is higher than that of Japan. However, in China, the quantity of journals included in the SCI database increased substantially year on year from 2007 to 2009, and the overall level of self-citation

is also undergoing a decline year on year. By 2009, the upper limit of self-citation rate was higher only than that of Japan. We also suggest that the strength of scientific research in China is progressively improving, and the level of science and technology itself and national influence is also gradually improved. Liu<sup>6</sup> also draws the same conclusion.

#### Excessive self-citation of a journal

Almost by definition the excessive self-citation of a journal has an obvious influence on IF, which will result in the IF not accurately reflecting its influence. The self-citation rate of many well-known international journals is mostly below 0.15. According to the Journal Self-citation Analysis Report of SCI, a journal will be regarded as a high self-citation journal once its self-citation rate is above 0.20. In this study, we defined and analysed as excessive self-citation journals whose self-citation rate is above 0.25. The results show that Korea has the highest number of excessive self-citation journals followed by China, India, and Japan. The large proportion of excessive self-citation journals in China partly reflects the fact that the influence of Chinese journals included by SCI all around the world is still limited and few journals are cited by others included by SCI. However, on overall level of excessive self-citation and IF, only Japan is superior, and the average citation frequency ranks first, which suggests that Chinese academic impact is improving continuously.

### On the zero self-citation journal

The total cited frequency and the average value of the IF of zero self-citation journals in the four countries is all low, and far lower than those of all the journals covered by SCI in 2009. In addition, the value of the eigenfactor is also obviously low, most of which are zero, which suggests that these journals have few citations from other journals. These zero selfcitation journals are not all top international journals, while top journals such as Nature and Science have a certain self-citation rate, and appropriate self-citation is reasonable, and even necessary for improving the influence of a journal. In China, the number of zero self-citation journals included by the SCI database increased year on year from 2007 to Self-citation rates of scientific and technical journals in SCI from China, Japan, India, and Korea

۲

2009, which was related to the fact that selfcitation had been controlled by the scientific journal field in China. However, on the other hand, zero self-citations can reflect the instability of the authors of the journal, and zero self-citation journals should appropriately encourage authors to refer to other relevant professional journals, and at the same time, cite properly the relevant papers which have been published in their own journal in order to improve the journal impact. It is clear that a balance is needed. Therefore, the emergence of zero self-citation journals is also unreasonable, and the journals should maintain a certain amount of self-citations.

The academic influence of a journal whose self-citation rate is either too low or too high is likely to be low. Since the total quantity of both excessive self-citation journals and zero self-citation journals is high in China, the management departments of journals in China should work hard to increase the influence of the journal and avoid both an excess and absence of self-citation.

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere thanks to Liu Xueli, editor, for the instruction and aids on selecting the theme and in revising the paper.

#### References

1. Garfield E. 1955. Citation indexes to sciences: a new dimension in documentation through association of ideas. *Science*, 122: 108–111.

 Liu Xue-Li, Zhou Zhi-Xin, Fang Hong-Ling et al. 2009. The self-cited rates and excessive self-cited in Chinese medical journals from 2005 to 2007. Chinese Journal of Science and Techology Periodicals, 20(4): 624–626. 49

- 3. Li Jian-Hui, Wang Zhi-Kui, Xu Hong, *et al.* 2007. Effects of self-citation on impact factor of sci-tech journals. *Acta Editologica*, 19(2): 154–157.
- Zhang Yu-Hua, Pan Yun-Tao, and Ma Zheng. 2005. Comparative research on self-citation of academic journals. *Acta Editologica*, 17(1): 74–78.
- Biglu M.H. 2008. The influence of references per paper in the SCI to impact factors and the Matthew effect. *Scientometrics*, 74(3): 453–460.
- Liu Qing-Hai, Wu Qiu-Ling, Zhu Pei-Ling, et al. 2010. Comparison of journals citation indexes of China, Japan, Korea and India included in SCI. Acta Editologica, 22(1): 82–84.
- Ren Sheng-Li. 2005. Thoughts on the development strategy for Chinese key scientific journals. Acta Editologica, 17(6): 393–395.
- Liu Xue-Li, Fang Hong-Ling, Wang Mei-Ying, et al. 2011. Statistics and analysis of bibliometrics indexes for 7437 journals covered by Science Citation Index in 2009. Chinese Journal of Science and Techology Periodicals, 22(1): 44–52.

#### FANG Hongling

Henan Research Center for Science Journals and Editorial Office of Recent Advances in Ophthalmology Xinxiang Medical University Xinxiang 453003 Henan Province, PR China E-mail: fanghongling@xxmu.edu.cn

۲

Copyright of Learned Publishing is the property of Association of Learned & Professional Society Publishers (ALPSP) and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.